

Report author: Andrew Richardson

Tel: 0113 378 7489

Report to the Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation)

Date: 28 July 2020

Subject: Benton Park School Expansion – Associated Highway Works

Are specific electoral wards affected? If yes, name(s) of ward(s): Guiseley & Rawdon	⊠ Yes	□No
Has consultation been carried out?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
Are there implications for equality and diversity and cohesion and integration?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
Will the decision be open for call-in?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information? If relevant, access to information procedure rule number: Appendix number:	☐ Yes	⊠ No

Summary

1. Main issues

- The Best Council Plan 2015-20 outlines how Leeds City will achieve its ambition to become the Best City in the UK and Leeds City Council the best local authority. According to the Best Council Plan, the success of the Best Council objective: ensuring high quality public services, will be partly measured through reduced numbers of people Killed or Seriously Injured on the city's roads. This scheme meets these objectives by providing a safer and more user friendly road environment, which will actively encourage children into more active modes of travel on journeys to school, contributing to the Leeds Education Challenge, which forms part of the objective to build a child friendly city.
- The City Council is responsible for designing and implementing highway works associated with the school expansion programme in accordance with a number of planning conditions. This particular report looks at the associated highway works to the school extension of Benton Park School, Rawdon.
- The purpose of this report is to seek approval for the detailed design and implementation of a package of off-site highway works associated with the planning approval granted for the Benton Park School expansion, as outlined in paragraph 3.1.2 and shown on the attached drawing.

2. Best Council Plan Implications (click here for the latest version of the Best Council Plan)

 The Best Council Plan 2015-20 outlines how Leeds City will achieve its ambition to become the Best City in the UK and Leeds City Council the best local authority. According to the Best Council Plan, the priorities for the 2020/2021 year include; tackling climate change risks, reducing health inequalities and keeping people safe from harm and promoting community respect and resilience. The implementation of the works around Benton Park School will assist with meeting these objectives.

3. Resource Implications

Recommendations

The Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation) is requested to:

- a) Note the contents of the report;
- b) Approve the detailed design and implementation of a package of highway works associated with the expansion of Benton Park school, as shown on the attached drawing, at a total cost of £60,000
- c) Note the required expenditure of £60,000, comprising £48,500 works costs, £10,000 staff fees and £1500 legal fees, all being funded from the Children & Families Capital Programme (previously approved);
- d) Give authority to advertise and introduce a Traffic Regulation Order to introduce waiting restrictions as shown on the attached drawing and to advertise a notice under the provision of Section 90c of the Highways Act 1980; and to advertise a notice under section 23 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984.
- e) Authorise the City Solicitor to advertise a notice under the provisions of Section 90c of the Highways Act 1980 and advertise a draft Traffic Regulation Order and if no valid objections are received, to make, seal and implement the Order as advertised.

1. Purpose of this report

1.1 The purpose of this report is to seek approval for the detailed design and implementation of a package of off-site highway works associated with the planning approval granted for Benton Park School expansion, consisting of formal and informal pedestrian crossing facilities, the introduction of waiting restrictions along Harrogate Road and Canada Drive in the vicinity of the school entrance and the introduction of two traffic calming features on Quakers Lane. These can be seen on the attached drawing TM/14/0583/GA/01.

1.2 To seek approval to advertise a notice under the provisions of Section 90c of the Highways Act 1980, Section 23 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 and a draft Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) and if no valid objections are received, to make and seal the Order as advertised.

2. Background information

- 2.1 Leeds has an extremely dynamic and growing economy, which makes the city a very attractive proposition for families and businesses to move to. As a result, the city's population is growing rapidly, at a faster rate than many of our neighbouring cities and this is reflected in the increasing demand for school places.
- 2.2 The scale of the response cannot be met through the existing estate, therefore the expansion of existing schools or the creation of new schools has been required, with the Council's response to the demographic growth pressures on school provision in the city are managed via Children & Families Programme. Since 2000/2001 the programme has created over 1,500 reception places in order that the Council fulfils its statutory duty to ensure sufficiency of school places. The schemes in the programme are working with a range of partners, including schools of varying governance models, to ensure enough places are created to meet demand.
- 2.3 As a consequence of a bulge cohort it was necessary to expand provision at Benton Park School. This is to be accomplished by demolishing the existing building with the replacement building accommodating 300 more 11-16 students (rising from 1200 to 1500) and 22 additional members of staff (138 to 160). The existing sixth form building will be retained with the number of students remaining the same.
- 2.4 The Benton Park School site lies within the Guiseley & Rawdon Ward on the B6152 Harrogate Road, within a dense residential area, with pupils, parents / guardians and members of staff able to access the school on foot from the B6152 and Quakers Lane.

3. Main issues

- 3.1 As part of the school expansion it was identified that a package of off-site highway works should be introduced to improve the overall road safety in the vicinity of Benton Park School and the nearby residential area, as shown on the attached drawing.
- 3.2 In order to improve road safety in the vicinity of Benton Park school, it is proposed to undertake the following off-site highway works;
 - (a) Introduction of No Waiting at Any Time waiting restrictions to remove obstructive parking and improve visibility for both pedestrians and drivers alike at the junction of Canada Drive and Harrogate Road (Both locations);
 - (b) Introduction of a new School Keep Clear Marking to remove parking close to the entrance of the school;
 - (c) The installation of a formal pelican crossing facility on Harrogate Road (B6152) to assist pedestrians in crossing to the school entrance; and

(d) The construction of two traffic calming features on Quakers Lane to lower the average speed of traffic on this route which is regularly used by pedestrians – one of these facilities will incorporate a raised informal crossing facility linking into existing footways.

4. Corporate considerations

4.1 Consultation and engagement

- 4.1.1 Guiseley & Rawdon Ward Members, Emergency Services and the West Yorkshire Combined Authority were all consulted by email on the 29th June 2020. Ward Members were supportive of the proposed highway works and requested additional waiting restrictions, which have been included. No adverse comments were received from the Emergency Service or the West Yorkshire Combined Authority.
- 4.1.2 The general public will be consulted via notices on street lighting columns during the public advertisement phase, along with an advert in the Yorkshire Post newspaper and also on Leeds City Council's webpage. Those local residents directly affected by proposed waiting restrictions will be consulted by letter drop and any comments, suggestions or objections addressed accordingly and if necessary reported back to the Chief Highways Officer, before progressing the detailed design.
- 4.1.3 Road Safety Audit; A combined Stage 1-2 Road Safety Audit on the proposed offsite highway works has been requested as part of the design process and any recommendations will be addressed via the designers response before progressing the detailed design.

4.2 Equality and diversity / cohesion and integration

- 4.2.1 A full Equality, Diversity / Cohesion and Integration impact assessment has been carried out for the off-site highway works.
- 4.2.2 Positive Impact: The introduction of traffic calming features, a formal crossing facility and waiting restrictions would:
 - Provide safer passage whilst crossing the road to all pedestrians, especially those with mobility issues, disabled people, parents supporting pushchairs and young and old people
 - Greater independence and choice for children travelling to school
 - Make it more pleasant to walk or cycle, encouraging a more healthy lifestyle
 - Improve quality of life for the local community
 - Remove undesirable parking in the vicinity of the school and crossing points, visibility for pedestrians wishing to cross and drivers approaching the waiting pedestrians is enhanced, thus improving crossing safety
 - The implementation of traffic calming features will assist in slowing driver speeds thus improving the road environment for all road users.

4.2.3 Negative Impact:

• Slight reduction in air quality due to lower speeds.

 Displacement of existing on street parking, potentially to areas where it may create a problem.

4.3 Council policies and the Best Council Plan

- 4.3.1 The proposals contained in the report have no implications for the council constitution.
- 4.3.2 By providing a safer road environment where needed and justified in the vicinity of the school, the proposed off-site highway works help to achieve Leeds' ambition to become the Best City by reducing the number of pedestrians killed or seriously injured on the city's roads, by fostering links between the communities and local facilities, especially where the highway forms a considerable barrier, and by enabling more sustainable travel choices for local journeys, including for new developments within the city.
- 4.3.3 Environmental Policy: The proposals contained in this report have no implications on the Policy.
- 4.3.4 Local Transport Plan 3: Strategic Approaches:

Travel Choices:

P10. Promote the benefits of active travel.

Connectivity:

P18. Improve safety and security

P22. Develop networks and facilities to encourage cycling

and walking.

Climate Emergency

4.3.5 By delivering the various elements of the Benton Park Expansion Scheme, providing both a new informal and formal pedestrian crossing facility, new traffic calming measures and removing obstructive and indiscriminative parking in the vicinity of the school and around pedestrian crossing points, this will provide a safer road environment in the vicinity of Benton Park School, which we will encourage and enable more sustainable and independent travel choices and make it more pleasant to walk or cycle, thus encouraging a more healthy lifestyle. The encouragement of such activities will in turn result in a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions as journeys to and from school by private cars are reduced and a modal shift to more sustainable travel is fashioned

4.4 Resources, procurement and value for money

4.4.1 The estimated total cost to implement this scheme is £60,000, comprising £48,500 works costs, £10,000 staff fees and £1500 legal fees, all being funded from the Children & Families Capital Programme (previously approved);

4.5 Legal implications, access to information, and call-in

4.5.1 The scheme is in the Annual Programme and subject to resolving any objections received to the advertised draft Traffic Regulation Order, it is anticipated to be completed within the 2020/2021 financial year.

4.6 Risk management

4.6.1 If no action was taken then access to the school for pedestrians and cyclists will not improve and the potential of injury to pedestrians and cyclists will not be addressed. Objections received to the permanent Traffic Regulation Order may be raised during the formal advertisement and could result in the delay implementing the orders.

5. Conclusions

5.1 The provision of a package of measures noted in this report will provide a safer environment around the school and residential area thus encouraging more sustainable travel behaviours for all users.

6. Recommendations

- 6.1 The Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation) is requested to:
 - a) Note the contents of the report;
 - b) Approve the detailed design and implementation of a package of highway works associated with the expansion of Benton Park school, as shown on the attached drawing, at a total cost of £60,000
 - c) Note the required expenditure of £60,000, comprising £48,500 works costs, £10,000 staff fees and £1500 legal fees, all being funded from the Children & Families Capital Programme (previously approved);
 - d) Give authority to advertise and introduce a Traffic Regulation Order to introduce waiting restrictions as shown on the attached drawing and to advertise a notice under the provision of Section 90c of the Highways Act 1980; and to advertise a notice under section 23 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984.
 - e) Authorise the City Solicitor to advertise a notice under the provisions of Section 90c of the Highways Act 1980 and advertise a draft Traffic Regulation Order and if no valid objections are received, to make, seal and implement the Order as advertised.

7. Background documents

7.1 None.

Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening



As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

Directorate: Highways Services	Service area: Traffic Management	
Lead person: Andrew Richardson	Contact number: 0113 378 7489	
1. Title: Benton Park School Expansio	on Scheme – Associated Highway Works	
Is this a:		
Strategy / Policy Serv	vice / Function X Other	
2. Please provide a brief description o	t what you are screening	
The screening focuses on a report to the requesting the authority to implement a s	Highways and Transportation Board, series of highway improvement measures	

3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

pedestrian route.

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

implementation of both formal and informal pedestrian crossing facilities, implementation

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

associated with the Benton Park School expansion scheme. These include the

of parking restrictions and the installation of traffic calming measures on a key

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation. Also those areas that

impact on or relate to equality: tackling poverty and improving health and well-being.		
Questions	Yes	No
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different equality characteristics?	Х	
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal?	X	
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom?		Х
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices?		Х
Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment Advancing equality of opportunity		Х
 Fostering good relations 		

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6 and 7**

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 4.**
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 5.**

4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.

Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).

• How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (think about the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected)

Consultation will take place with Ward Members, the Emergency Services and West Yorkshire Combined Authority.

Consultation will take place either directly with affected parties or via a series of public advertisement notices, advertisement in the Yorkshire Post newspaper and a Section 90c Notice.

All comments received from the consultation will be duly considered prior to scheme implementation.

Key findings

(think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)

Positive impacts:

- Provide safer passage whilst crossing the road to all pedestrians, especially those with mobility issues, disabled people, parents supporting pushchairs and young and old people;
- Greater independence and choice for children travelling to school
- Make it more pleasant to walk or cycle, encouraging a more healthy lifestyle
- Improve quality of life for the local community
- By providing a formal crossing place, this will better enable those parents/ carers with pushchairs to cross, as well as those pedestrians using wheelchairs and those with limited mobility; and
- By removing undesirable parking in the vicinity of the school and crossing points, visibility for pedestrians wishing to cross and drivers approaching the waiting pedestrians is enhanced, thus improving crossing safety.
- The implementation of traffic calming features will assist in slowing driver speeds thus improving the road environment for all road users

Negative impacts:

- Slight reduction in air quality due to lower speeds.
- Displacement of existing on street parking, potentially to areas where it may create a problem.
- Actions (think about how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)

Comments received from members of the public towards the proposals will be duly considered in the design process. Should there be a comment raised that we feel requires accommodation within the scheme and is safe and reasonable to do so, then it shall be done.

Post-scheme implementation monitoring of the site will be carried out. Should there be a need for further works to alleviate post-implementation issues then this will be duly considered at the time.

5. If you are **not** already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you **will need to carry out an impact assessment**.

Date to scope and plan your impact assessment:	N/A
Date to complete your impact assessment	N/A
Lead person for your impact assessment (Include name and job title)	N/A

6. Governance, ownership and approval		
Please state here who has approved the actions and outcomes of the screening		
Name	Job title	Date

Nick Hunt	Principal Engineer	
Date screening completed		

7. Publishing

Though all key decisions are required to give due regard to equality the council only publishes those related to Executive Board, Full Council, Key Delegated Decisions or a Significant Operational Decision.

A copy of this equality screening should be attached as an appendix to the decision making report:

- Governance Services will publish those relating to Executive Board and Full Council.
- The appropriate directorate will publish those relating to Delegated Decisions and Significant Operational Decisions.
- A copy of all other equality screenings that are not to be published should be sent to equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk for record.

Complete the appropriate section below with the date the report and attached screening was sent:

was sent.	
For Executive Board or Full Council – sent to	Date sent:
Governance Services	
For Delegated Decisions or Significant Operational Decisions – sent to appropriate Directorate	Date sent:
All other decisions – sent to equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk	Date sent: